

New Insights into the Statin-Cholesterol Controversy

Timothy M. Marshall, Ph.D.

Introduction

Known biochemically as HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, “statins” are the most prescribed pharmaceuticals in history and have become one of the most controversial classes of drugs in use today.

Beginning in the 1980s with lovastatin, they have been touted as the modern-day cure for the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease (CVD). From decreasing LDL-cholesterol to their supposed anti-inflammatory effects, it seemed there was much to applaud.

Powerful drugs often have unwanted and sometimes dangerous side-effects. This is an inescapable feature of isolated, purified, and concentrated chemicals and has become the defining aspect of pharmaceutical medicine. Statins are no exception.

Although denied for years, a number of health-compromising side-effects accompany the apparent benefits of statins. Adverse events include hepatotoxicity, diabetes, myopathies, insomnia, memory loss, confusion, peripheral neuropathy, impaired myocardial contractility, autoimmune diseases, rhabdomyolysis, erectile dysfunction, and mitochondrial dysfunction.¹⁻⁶ Nearly 900 studies have been published on the adverse effects of these medications.⁷

In a 2013 review in the *Journal of Endocrine and Metabolic Disease*, the authors found that for every 10,000 people taking a statin, there were 307 extra patients with cataracts, 23 additional patients with acute kidney failure, and 74 extra patients with liver dysfunction.⁸⁻¹⁰ The review also revealed that statin therapy increased coronary artery and aortic calcification, muscle fatigability,^{11,12} diabetes, and cancer. Additionally, erectile dysfunction was 10 times more common in young men taking the lowest dose of statin. This recent pivotal review revealed “a categorical lack of clinical evidence to support the use of statin therapy in primary prevention.” Authors also found that statins actually increase rather than decrease CVD risk in women, the young, and people with diabetes.¹³

The Truth about Cholesterol

Most of the attention in CVD in the past 60 years has focused on cholesterol and saturated fats and their seemingly positive relationship to disease and prevention. Massive corporate-funded campaigns have further promoted the idea that cholesterol—a vital and essential nutrient required for neurological integrity and a multiplicity of biological

functions—is an enemy to be avoided, or at the very least, minimized at all costs. Although medical textbooks made it clear years ago, it’s now apparent from a growing body of evidence that cholesterol and saturated fats possess fundamental roles in disease prevention, and promote a myriad of beneficial effects from reducing inflammation to promoting healing processes in the body and nervous system.

Several recent studies have shown that lower serum cholesterol levels are associated with a lower survival rate (increased mortality) irrespective of concomitant diseases or health status.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ With respect to cholesterol’s protective effects on the body’s genetic machinery and potential role in cancer prevention, a 2013 study by Kikuchi et al. found that low cholesterol levels were associated with higher oxidative DNA damage.¹⁷ Once this relationship becomes better established, this could have significant implications for cancer prevention and treatment. It’s reported that vitamin D, a steroid derived from cholesterol, has anti-cancer, immune modulatory activity—with higher serum levels of 1,25-(OH)₂-D₃ recommended for those with genetic susceptibility to cancer or undergoing cancer treatment.¹⁸⁻²¹ In vitro and in vivo animal model studies have demonstrated the anti-tumor effects of vitamin D. And since the body needs adequate cholesterol levels to synthesize vitamin D, higher cholesterol levels may assist vitamin D in its full therapeutic potential. Furthermore, cholesterol has positive therapeutic and protective effects of its own.²²⁻²⁵

Statins and Increased Cancer Risk

The statin-cancer connection has been a topic of interest since the first studies in the 1980s, in which researchers found that statins such as compactin and lovastatin suppress human lymphocyte functions in vitro. Shockingly, in a 1996 study published in the journal *Immunopharmacology*, the authors found that the inhibitory activity of simvastatin, a lipophilic inhibitor, on sterol synthesis (HMG-CoA reductase activity) in lymphocytes was as much as 430 times more potent than that of pravastatin, and at low clinical doses, simvastatin was able to significantly increase cyclosporin-A induced lymphocyte suppression of T-cell response.^{26,27}

That same year, a review published in the prestigious *Journal of the American Medical Association* stated:

All members of the two most popular classes of lipid-lowering drugs (the fibrates and the statins) cause cancer in rodents, in some cases at levels of animal

exposure close to those prescribed to humans. In the meantime, the results of experiments in animals and humans suggest that lipid-lowering drug treatment, especially with the fibrates and statins, should be avoided except in patients at high short-term risk of coronary heart disease.²⁸

Angiogenesis is a necessary feature in healing and repair processes in the body, but like most processes, is under tight control. If overactivated, angiogenesis is a primary driver in cancer propagation. In addition to its immune-suppressing properties, simvastatin's ability to promote angiogenesis in vitro is well-documented. In a 2011 study published in *Neurosurgery*, researchers found that the drug also promotes angiogenesis following traumatic brain injury.²⁹ Like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFR, a primary anti-cancer target) and its associated receptors, simvastatin is of definite concern with respect to increased cancer risk through its angiogenesis-promoting activity, which is independent of the drug's cholesterol-lowering activity.

As previously mentioned, the cholesterol-derived hormone 1,25-(OH)₂-D₃ (calcitriol) has been shown to possess anti-cancer effects, but we also know that cholesterol too promotes a more robust immune system when serum levels are higher.^{30,31} Mice with hypercholesterolemia due to LDL-receptor deficiency, challenged with bacterial endotoxin, had an eight-fold increased LD₅₀, and a significantly lower and delayed mortality after injection with Gram-negative bacteria, compared with control mice.³² Also, in rats, hypocholesterolemia induced with 4-aminopyrrole-(3,4-D) pyrimide or estradiol had markedly increased endotoxin-induced mortality compared to normal rats.³³ In a 1997 study by Muldoon and colleagues, 19 healthy adult men with a mean total cholesterol concentration of 151 mg/dl (low cholesterol group) were compared with 39 men of a similar age whose total cholesterol averaged 261 mg/dl. Relative to the high cholesterol group, men with lower serum cholesterol had significantly fewer circulating lymphocytes, fewer total T cells, and fewer CD8+ cells.³¹

These data make clear that higher levels of cholesterol may be needed for optimal immune function, and suggest a role for optimized cholesterol levels, as currently applied to vitamin D₃, in cancer prevention. Based on these data, statin medications—with their cholesterol and coenzyme-Q10 (CoQ10) lowering effects, both key nutrients for the immune system, could increase cancer susceptibility, especially at higher doses.

Statin, Cholesterol, and Therapeutic Efficacy

The clinical observation that statins marginally lower both total and CVD mortality in high-risk individuals, as evidenced by their high number needed to treat (NNT), has been interpreted to show that cholesterol lowering is their primary effect in CVD prevention. The fact is, statins are just as effective whether cholesterol is lowered by a small

amount or by more than 40 percent. Statin treatment has essentially the same effectiveness whether the initial LDL-cholesterol is high or low.^{34,35} If high LDL-cholesterol were the driving force in CVD, one would expect the greatest effect in patients with the highest LDL-cholesterol, and in patients who experienced the greatest decrease in LDL-cholesterol, but this is not the case.

Peskin et al., in their excellent review of the statin-cholesterol controversy, found that, except for a very small and insignificant minority of patients, concurrently lowering LDL-C and raising HDL-C does not result in any benefit to the patient. The authors make reference to the anti-inflammatory activity of statins, which they attribute to probable cyclooxygenase (COX) suppression, but they conclude that this activity is marginal and far outweighed by the detrimental effects of statin therapy.³⁶

Presuming that high cholesterol has a protective function, as previously suggested and observed, its lowering would oppose the "beneficial" effects of the statins and thus work against a dose-response relationship. The clinical data clearly support that this happens. For example, coronary mortality was reduced almost three times more (with simvastatin) in the 4S trial than in the HPS trial, despite the fact that LDL-cholesterol and total cholesterol decreased to a much lower level in the latter.^{37,38} Thus, the primary mechanism for the observed beneficial effects of statin therapy appears to lie outside its cholesterol-lowering activity, and has been suggested to reside in its anti-inflammatory effects.

Mitochondrial and Neurotoxicity

With the understanding that both cholesterol and CoQ10 are neuroprotective³⁹⁻⁴² and essential for healthy neuronal function and repair processes, the natural question arises: Are statins neurotoxic?

The short answer is, yes.

At all doses studied, the ratio of cost in biological dysfunction to benefit for these drugs is a very poor one (i.e. high cost, little to no benefit), as revealed by the hundreds of studies documenting their negative effects. At moderate to high doses, they're undoubtedly problematic and associated with numerous side-effects, such as muscle pain, fatigue, increased risk for new-onset diabetes, insomnia, increased cancer risk, memory problems, and cognitive deficits. These are most likely a function of CoQ10 depletion coupled with dose-dependent lowering of the essential nutrient in both the body and the brain—cholesterol. Furthermore, cholesterol's critical esterified essential fatty acids (e.g. linoleic acid) are also lowered, leading to additional potential patient risk.³⁶ With more than 40 years of research documenting the adverse effects of statins on the immune system and mitochondrial energy system, which affects all body systems, especially those with the highest energy requirements (e.g. brain, heart, liver, kidneys, muscles)—if there was one drug to avoid, in the interest of greater energy

and total health, it would be the statins.

Functional medicine physician Mark Hyman, who has written extensively on statins, made the following statement on his popular blog (dr.hyman.com) regarding statins' effect on exercise capacity and mitochondrial function:

We used to think that there were very few side effects associated with this drug, but the truth is, up to 20 percent of statin users have experienced serious side effects like muscle pain, damage, and aching or high muscle enzymes. Statins can also poison your mitochondria, which are your cells' energy-production factories and the single most important factor in healthy aging and wellness. Statins can hinder the mitochondria's ability to produce energy effectively and can even kill cells off completely.....

In one study, two groups of overweight, sedentary people were put on an exercise program for 12 weeks. One group was given a statin and the other group wasn't. After 12 weeks, the group that had been taking the statin saw no improvement in their fitness level. It was as if they hadn't exercised at all! In fact, when muscle biopsies were performed, doctors found the members of this group had four and a half percent less energy-production capacity in their cells. They were actually in worse condition than before they started the exercise program!⁴³

Other drugs also possess side-effects—e.g., nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), and angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, but what makes statins notably problematic is that they deplete two key nutrients required for the health and vitality of every cell in the body. From a purely "functional" standpoint, creating deficiencies in two primary brain nutrients simply does not make sense, from any perspective.

It is well known that the brain has a high, immutable requirement for cholesterol. With its high fat (membrane) density, it contains the highest cholesterol concentration (approximately 23 percent to 25 percent) of any tissue in the human body, which is a substantive clue to the functional importance of cholesterol and fat in brain function.⁴⁴ If the brain receives less than the needed amount of these nutrients, function suffers. This was clearly revealed in one of the largest cohorts ever studied. Participants from the original 1948 cohort of the Framingham Heart Study with lower, "desirable" cholesterol levels (<200 mg/dL) displayed poorer performance on cognitive measures, which place high demands on abstract reasoning, attention, concentration, word fluency, and executive functioning.⁴⁵ Furthermore, the body lacks a cholesterol sensor, meaning there is no required LDL-C regulation.

While not antioxidants in the true, chemical sense of the word, saturated fats and cholesterol, as structural components of the body's cells and organelles, function in this capacity as "structural antioxidants." They provide increased

stability and resistance to oxidation, and thereby promote enhanced metabolic/system stability and integrity. They are the most stable of the spectrum of structural fats. Saturated fats such as those found in coconut oil and animal fats (e.g. grass-fed butter, eggs, cheese, meat) are incorporated into cell membranes, where they impart oxidation-resistant, anti-aging properties we are just beginning to recognize and appreciate.

Research over the last decade has shown that high blood levels of cholesterol correlate with a lower mortality index and a better outcome following a first stroke.⁴⁶ A high-cholesterol diet was found to be protective of cognitive functions in rats subjected to anoxia,⁴⁷ and patients suffering from Alzheimer disease (AD) have been found to possess lower levels of cholesterol in cerebrospinal fluid in the lipid fraction of brain membranes, resulting in altered membrane function.^{48,49} Higher neuronal cholesterol has not been shown to correlate with greater A β production, nor has it been shown that neurons in AD patients have more cholesterol than control neurons. Rather what has been revealed is that patients with AD show a specific down-regulation of seladin-1, a protein involved in cholesterol synthesis suggestive of a possible protective role of cholesterol in this neurodegenerative disorder.⁵⁰

In the toxicological/chemical realm, applying the principle of "like dissolves like," we know that lipids such as cholesterol function as "organic buffers" in biological systems with their ability to sequester and thus neutralize organic toxins—e.g. polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and methyl-mercury compounds, while also participating in a plethora of biological functions. As biological buffers, cholesterol and fats protect the body and brain from harmful toxins. Along with this toxin-buffering activity, these multi-purpose lipids function as building blocks in essential cellular structures (e.g. lipid bilayers, lipid rafts) and life-giving hormones. Thus, in this capacity cholesterol and the highly stable, anti-inflammatory, saturated fats confer powerful neuroprotective effects in the body.

As with all drugs, chemicals, and nutrients, statins' relative neurotoxicity depends on dose. We know that statins' effect on decreasing serum cholesterol level, along with the level of the cellular antioxidant, energy-producing molecule CoQ10, is dose-dependent. This is without question a major problem, and is responsible for many, if not all, of the side-effects associated with statins. At the same time, the minimal therapeutic effect of statins on CVD appears to reside primarily in their cholesterol-independent, anti-inflammatory effects.^{51,52}

Knowing this information is the first step in making better-informed decisions about the use of this class of drugs, or any drugs, especially over the long term. If indeed this analysis is correct, then there are more effective and safer anti-inflammatory agents (e.g. curcuminoids,^{53,54} astaxanthin,⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ tocotrienols,^{58,59} and magnesium^{60,61}), which do not deplete essential nutrients and have fewer-

to-no unwanted side-effects. These should be considered as beneficial, highly viable alternatives to statin medications.

Natural Alternatives

Of note in the deluge of statin and cholesterol research is a groundbreaking 2004 study by Rosanoff and Seelig in the Department of Physiology and Pharmacology at the State University of New York, comparing the mechanism and functional effects of magnesium with statin pharmaceuticals. The authors state that magnesium acts as a natural statin and modulator of HMG-CoA-reductase activity.⁶²

The key point here is that unlike statins, which are potent inhibitors of HMG-CoA-reductase, causing numerous adverse effects, magnesium acts as a “modulator” or controller of this enzyme, allowing the enzyme to function as it was designed to, with wide-spectrum activity and the ability to adapt to changing physiological demands. Magnesium is also essential for the activity of lecithin cholesterol acyl transferase (LCAT), which regulates LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglyceride levels, as well as the enzyme desaturase, which statins do not directly affect. Desaturase catalyzes the first step in the conversion of essential fatty acids into prostaglandins—important in cardiovascular and total health. Magnesium has also been shown to have antioxidant activity, lowering markers of inflammation (e.g. C-reactive protein), and at optimal cellular concentrations is a well-accepted, natural calcium channel blocker, and through its varied biological activities modulates vascular tone and blood pressure.⁶¹⁻⁶⁵

Unlike magnesium, which promotes normal, balanced physiological processes and serves as an absolute requirement in a multiplicity of metabolic functions in the body, statins disrupt normal cellular functions. They are undesirable at best, and have numerous, well-documented, health-compromising effects at their worst, through their normal mode of action.

Research by Rosanoff and Seelig, as well as others, has been applied successfully in naturopathic or functional medicine for many years. Magnesium and other “functional nutrients” offer a multitude of metabolic, neurologic, cardiovascular, and whole-body benefits, free of adverse effects, when taken in the form of bio-compatible, well-absorbed forms (e.g. glycinate, orotate, threonate) of the nutrient.⁶⁵⁻⁷¹

Conclusion

As the statin-cholesterol controversy shows, it is time to recognize the benefits of natural medicine (i.e. functional medicine) for disease prevention and the maintenance of optimal health and wellness, instead of relying on pharmaceuticals that treat and suppress one problem, while often creating a dozen others, without ever fully addressing and resolving the original complaint.

Timothy M. Marshall, Ph.D., is a holistic neurospecialist/pharmacologist and professor of chemistry and pharmacology in Tucson, Ariz. Contact: tmarshall73@gmail.com.

REFERENCES

1. Bang CN, Okin PM. Statin treatment, new-onset diabetes, and other adverse effects: a systematic review. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2014;16(3):461. doi: 10.1007/s11886-013-0461-4.
2. Manji H. Drug-induced neuropathies. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2013;115:729-742.
3. Beltowski J, Wójcicka G, Jamroz-Wiśniewska A. Adverse effects of statins—mechanisms and consequences. *Curr Drug Saf* 2009;4:209-228.
4. Preiss D, Seshasai ST, Welsh P, et al. Risk of incident diabetes with intensive-dose compared with moderate-dose statin therapy: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2011;305:2556-2564.
5. Harper CR, Jacobson TA. The broad spectrum of statin myopathy: from myalgia to rhabdomyolysis. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2007;18(4):401-408.
6. Tuccori M, Lapi F, Testi A. Statin-associated psychiatric adverse events: a case/non-case evaluation of an Italian database of spontaneous adverse drug reaction reporting. *Curr Drug Saf* 2008;31:1115-1123.
7. Golomb BA, Evans MA. Statin adverse effects: a review of the literature and evidence for a mitochondrial mechanism. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs* 2008;8:373-418. doi: 10.2165/0129784-200808060-00004.
8. Fernandez G, Spatz ES, Jablecki C, Phillips PS. Statin myopathy: a common dilemma not reflected in clinical trials. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2011;78:393-403.
9. Fernandez AB, Karas RH, Alsheikh-Ali AA. Statins and interstitial lung disease: a systematic review of the literature and of Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reports. *Chest* 2008;134:824-830.
10. Culver AL, Ockene IS, Balasubramanian R. Statin use and risk of diabetes mellitus in postmenopausal women in the Women’s Health Initiative. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:144-152.
11. Rosenberg H, Allard D. Evidence for caution: women and statin use. Women and Health Protection. Canadian government white paper, June 2007.
12. De Lorgeril M, Salen P, Abramson J. Cholesterol lowering, cardiovascular diseases, and the rosuvastatin-JUPITER controversy: a critical reappraisal. *Arch Intern Med* 2010;170:1032-1036.
13. Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, et al. Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomised statin trials. *Lancet* 2010;375:735-742.
14. Forette B, Torrat D, Wolmark Y. Cholesterol as risk factor for mortality in elderly women. *Lancet* 1989;1(8643):868-870.
15. Tuikkala P, Hartikainen S, Korhonen MJ, Lavikainen P, Kettunen R. Serum total cholesterol levels and all-cause mortality in a home-dwelling elderly population: a six-year follow-up. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2010;28:121-127.
16. Takata Y, Ansai T, Soh I, Awano S, Nakamichi I. Serum total cholesterol concentration and 10-year mortality in an 85-year-old population. *Clin Interv Aging* 2014;9:293-300.
17. Kikuchi H, Nanri A, Hori A, et al. Lower serum levels of total cholesterol are associated with higher urinary levels of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine. *Nutr Metab (London)* 2013;10(1):59.
18. Chiang KC, Chen TC. The anti-cancer actions of vitamin D. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2013;13(1):126-139.
19. Krishnan AV, Feldman D. Mechanisms of the anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory actions of vitamin D. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2011;51:311-336.
20. Krishnan AV, Trump DL, Johnson CS, Feldman D. The role of vitamin D in cancer prevention and treatment. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am* 2010;39:401-418.
21. Krishnan AV, Swami S, Feldman D. Equivalent anticancer activities of dietary vitamin D and calcitriol in an animal model of breast cancer: importance of mammary CYP27B1 for treatment and prevention. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2013;136:289-295.
22. Ravnskov U. High cholesterol may protect against infections and atherosclerosis. *QJM* 2003;96:927-934.
23. Neaton JD, Wentworth DN. Low serum cholesterol and risk of death from AIDS. *AIDS* 1997;11:929-930.
24. Cuthbert JA, Lipsky PE. Sterol metabolism and lymphocyte responsiveness: inhibition of endogenous sterol synthesis prevents mitogen-induced human T cell proliferation. *J Immunol* 1981;126:2093-2099.

25. Cutts JL, Scallen TJ, Watson J, Bankhurst AD. Role of mevalonic acid in the regulation of natural killer cell cytotoxicity. *J Cell Physiol* 1989;139:550-557.
26. Rudich SM, Mongini PK, Perez RV, Katznelson S. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors pravastatin and simvastatin inhibit human B-lymphocyte activation. *Transplant Proc* 1998;30:992-995.
27. Kurakata S, Kada M, Shimada Y, Komai T, Nomoto K. Effects of different inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, pravastatin sodium and simvastatin, on sterol synthesis and immunological functions in human lymphocytes in vitro. *Immunopharmacology* 1996;34(1):51-61.
28. Newman TB, Hulley SB. Carcinogenicity of lipid-lowering drugs. *JAMA* 1996;275:55-60.
29. Wu H, Jiang H, Lu D, Qu C, Xiong Y. Induction of angiogenesis and modulation of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 by simvastatin after traumatic brain injury. *Neurosurgery* 2011;68:1363-1371.
30. Muldoon MF, Marsland A, Flory JD, Rabin BS, Whiteside T. Immune system differences in men with hypo- or hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* 1997;84:145-149.
31. Losche W, Krause S, Pohl A. Functional behavior of mononuclear blood cells from patients with hypercholesterolemia. *Thromb Res* 1992;65:337-342.
32. Netera MG, Demacker PNM, Kullberg BJ, Boerman OC, Verschueren I. Low-density lipoprotein receptor-deficient mice are protected against lethal endotoxemia and severe Gram-negative infections. *J Clin Invest* 1996; 97:1366-1372.
33. Feingold KR, Funk JL, Moser AH. Role for circulating lipoproteins in protection from endotoxin toxicity. *Infect Immun* 1995;63:2041-2046.
34. Sacks FM, Moye LA, Davis BR, Cole TG, Rouleau J. Relationship between plasma LDL concentrations during treatment with pravastatin and recurrent coronary events in the cholesterol and recurrent events trial. *Circulation* 1998;97:1446-1452.
35. Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, Ganz P, Oliver MF. Myocardial Ischemia Reduction with Aggressive Cholesterol Lowering (MIRACL) Study Investigators. Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes: the MIRACL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2001;285:1711-1718.
36. Peskin BS, Sim D, Carter MJ. The failure of vytorin and statins to improve cardiovascular health: bad cholesterol or bad theory? *J Am Phys Surg* 2008;13:82-87.
37. Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4,444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet* 1994;344:1383-1389.
38. Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002;360:7-22.
39. Won R, Lee KH, Lee BH. Coenzyme Q10 protects neurons against neurotoxicity in hippocampal slice culture. *Neuroreport* 2011;22:721-726.
40. Choi H, Park HH, Koh SH, Choi NY, Yu HJ. Coenzyme Q10 protects against amyloid beta-induced neuronal cell death by inhibiting oxidative stress and activating the P13K pathway. *Neurotoxicology* 2012;33:85-90.
41. Da Silva Machado C, Mendonça LM, Venancio VP, Bianchi ML, Antunes LM. Coenzyme Q10 protects Pc12 cells from cisplatin-induced DNA damage and neurotoxicity. *Neurotoxicology* 2013;36:10-16.
42. Sadli N, Barrow CJ, McGee S, Suphioglu C. Effect of DHA and coenzyme Q10 against A β - and zinc-induced mitochondrial dysfunction in human neuronal cells. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 2013;32:243-252.
43. Hyman M. Should I stop my statins? *Huffington Post*, Jan 7, 2014.
44. Dietschy JM, Turley SD. Cholesterol metabolism in the brain. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2001;12:105-112.
45. Elias PK, Elias MF, D'Agostino RB, Sullivan LM, Wolf PA. Serum cholesterol and cognitive performance in the Framingham heart study. *Psychosom Med* 2005;67:24-30.
46. Vauthey C, de Freitas GR, van Melle G, Devuyt G, Bogousslavsky J. Better outcome after stroke with higher serum cholesterol levels. *Neurology* 2000;54:1944-1948.
47. Bohr I. Hypercholesterolemic diet applied to rat dams protects their offspring against cognitive deficits: simulated neonatal anoxia model. *Physiol Behav* 2004;82:703-711.
48. Demeester N, Castrol G, Desrumaux C, De Geitere C, Fruchart JC. Characterization and functional studies of lipoproteins, lipid transfer proteins, and lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase in CSF of normal individuals and patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Lipid Res* 2000;41:963-974.
49. Mason RP, Shoemaker WJ, Shajenko L, Chambers TE, Herbette LG: Evidence for changes in the Alzheimer's disease brain cortical membrane structure mediated by cholesterol. *Neurobiol Aging* 1992;13:413-420.
50. Ledesma MD, Dotti CG: The conflicting role of brain cholesterol in Alzheimer's disease: lessons from the brain plasminogen system. *Biochem Soc Symp* 2005;72:129-138.
51. Davaro F, Forde SD, Garfield M, Jiang Z, Halmen K. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins)-induced 28-kDa interleukin-1 β interferes with mature IL-1 β signaling [published online ahead of print Apr 30, 2014]. *J Biol Chem*.
52. Mihos CG, Pineda AM, Santana O. Cardiovascular effects of statins, beyond lipid-lowering properties [published online ahead of print Mar 12, 2014]. *Pharmacol Res*. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2014.02.009.
53. Chainani-Wu N. Safety and anti-inflammatory activity of curcumin: a component of tumeric (*Curcuma longa*). *J Altern Complement Med* 2003;9(1):161-168.
54. Bengmark S. Curcumin, an atoxic antioxidant and natural NF κ B, cyclooxygenase-2, lipooxygenase, and inducible nitric oxide synthase inhibitor: a shield against acute and chronic diseases. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2006;30(1):45-51.
55. Bhuvanewari S, Yogalakshmi B, Sreeja S, Anuradha CV. Astaxanthin reduces hepatic endoplasmic reticulum stress and nuclear factor- κ B-mediated inflammation in high fructose and high fat diet-fed mice. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2014;19(2):183-191.
56. Arunkumar E1, Bhuvanewari S, Anuradha CV. An intervention study in obese mice with astaxanthin, a marine carotenoid—effects on insulin signaling and pro-inflammatory cytokines. *Food Funct* 2012;3(2):120-126.
57. Zheng D, Li Y, He L, et al. The protective effect of astaxanthin on fetal alcohol spectrum disorder in mice [published online ahead of print Apr 26, 2014]. *Neuropharmacology*.
58. Jiang Q. Natural forms of vitamin E: metabolism, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory activities and their role in disease prevention and therapy. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2014;72C:76-90.
59. Sen CK, Khanna S, Roy S. Tocotrienols: vitamin E beyond tocopherols. *Life Sci* 2006;78(18):2088-2098.
60. Blaszczyk U, Duda-Chodak A. Magnesium: its role in nutrition and carcinogenesis. *Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig* 2013;64(3):165-171.
61. Dibaba DT, Xun P, He K. Dietary magnesium intake is inversely associated with serum C-reactive protein levels: meta-analysis and systematic review. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2014 Apr;68(4):510-516.
62. Rosanoff A, Seelig MS. Comparison of mechanism and functional effects of magnesium and statin pharmaceuticals. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2004;23(5):501-505.
63. Olatunji LA, Soladoye AO. Effect of increased magnesium intake on plasma cholesterol, triglyceride and oxidative stress in alloxan-diabetic rats. *Afr J Med Med Sci* 2007;36:155-161.
64. Song Y, Liu S. Magnesium for cardiovascular health: time for intervention. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95:269-270.
65. Nielsen FH, Milne DB, Klevay LM, Gallagher S, Johnson L. Dietary magnesium deficiency induces heart rhythm changes, impairs glucose tolerance, and decreases serum cholesterol in post-menopausal women. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2007;26:121-132.
66. Eby GA, Eby KL. Rapid recovery from major depression using magnesium treatment. *Med Hypotheses* 2006;67(2):362-370.
67. Nemesánszky E, Pavlik G, Szélényi I. Experimental studies on the effect of magnesium orotate glycinate on the hemodynamics of A. coronaris and A. femoralis. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1971;21:791-794.
68. Guerrero MP, Volpe SL, Mao JJ. Therapeutic uses of magnesium. *Am Fam Physician* 2009;80:157-162.
69. Classen HG. Magnesium orotate—experimental and clinical evidence. *Rom J Intern Med* 2004;42:491-501.
70. Zeana C. Magnesium orotate in myocardial and neuronal protection. *Rom J Intern Med* 1999;37:91-97.
71. Rosenfeldt F, Miller F, Nagley P, et al. Response of the senescent heart to stress: clinical therapeutic strategies and quest for mitochondrial predictors of biological age. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2004;1019(Jun):78-84.